The electrostatic field of a point charge and an electrical dipole in the presence of a conducting sphere

F C Santos and A C Tort

Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68.528; CEP 21941-972 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E-mail: filadelf@if.ufrj.br and tort@if.ufrj.br

Received 24 May 2004, in final form 26 July 2004 Published 7 October 2004 Online at stacks.iop.org/EJP/25/859 doi:10.1088/0143-0807/25/6/018

Abstract

We evaluate the electrostatic potential and the electrostatic field created by a point charge and an arbitrarily oriented electrical dipole placed near a grounded perfectly conducting sphere. Induced surface charge distributions and possible variants of the problem are also discussed.

1. Introduction

Several methods have been devised in order to solve electrostatic problems. Among them, the image method stands out due to its relative simplicity and strong physical appeal. Essentially, the image method leans on the uniqueness theorems of electrostatics which allow us, for instance, to replace a given conductor by a system of charges capable of reproducing the boundary conditions on the surface of the conductor. This replacement must be done outside the physical region so that the Poisson equation remains unchanged. It can be shown that it is always possible to obtain the set of auxiliary charges necessary to solve the given electrostatic boundary problem [1]. The classical example of the application of this method is the problem of a point charge at a distance D from a grounded perfectly conducting infinite plate. In this case, the image is another point charge with reversed algebraic sign at a distance D below the mirror surface (the conducting plane). The electrostatic potential, and therefore the electric field, in the physical region is the sum of the potentials of the point charge and its image. From knowledge of the potential we can also calculate the distribution of the electric charge induced on the plane. A more challenging problem is that of the point charge between two grounded conducting planes. The number of images in this case is infinite and they are distributed exactly as the specular images of a pointlike light source placed between two parallel plane mirrors. For these two examples, the image method fully deserves its name. Note, however,

that for most electrostatic boundary problems the images do not correspond to those obtained in the framework of geometrical optics. For example, in the problem of a point charge in the presence of a grounded perfectly conducting sphere, also a well-known example of an electrostatic problem, the latter does not work as a mirror. Of course, this does not diminish the physical appeal of the method.

In this paper we rediscuss and solve in detail another example of the collection of problems that can be solved by the image method: the determination of the electric field of an ideal static dipole with an arbitrary spatial orientation in the presence of a grounded conducting sphere. A somewhat different version of this problem is proposed in Batygin and Topygin's problem book on electrodynamics [2] where the student is asked to determine the system of images which is equivalent to the induced charges, the interaction energy between the dipole and the sphere, and the force and torque on the dipole. Only the answers are provided by the authors. The determination of the electrostatic potential field of a grounded sphere and an electric dipole for the particular case where the dipole is collinear with the radius vector of the sphere was proposed in [3] and a briefly sketched solution for the potential was also offered. To the electrical dipole pointing to an arbitrary spatial direction we also add a point charge. This configuration is sufficiently general as to allow the consideration of several special situations that can be compared with known results. As mentioned above, our aim here will be the enlargement of the previous discussions mentioned above and in what follows, we will employ the image method to obtain the potential, the electric field and the induced surface charge on the conduction sphere. We will also reobtain the electrostatic energy of the system as well as the force and torque on the dipole. We believe that a complete discussion of this electrostatic problem can be useful for undergraduate and graduate students as well as for their instructors. Variants of the problem can also be proposed and we will discuss some of these variants. SI units will be used.

2. The electrostatic problem and its solution

Let us begin by enunciating more precisely the problem we initially want to solve: given a point charge q and an arbitrarily oriented ideal dipole \mathbf{p} , both placed at the same point of the space and at known distance from a grounded, perfectly conducting sphere of radius R, the centre of which coincides with the origin of the coordinate system, find the electric potential and field at a point P in the region exterior to the sphere, and find also the induced surface charge density σ_e on the conducting sphere.

In order to solve this problem, it is convenient to start by recalling that for a point charge placed at a distance R_1 from the centre of the sphere an image charge is formed at a distance R_2 on the line joining the centre of the sphere to the original point charge. These distances satisfy the geometrical relation

$$R_1 R_2 = R^2. (1)$$

It seems natural then to place the image dipole at the same point below the spherical surface where the image charge is located. With the convention that the indices 1 and 2 denote the real sources and their images, respectively, the electrostatic potential at a point P exterior to the sphere is tentatively written as

$$V(P) = \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r_1^3} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r_2^3} + \frac{q_1}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r_1} + \frac{q_2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r_2},\tag{2}$$

where \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 are position vectors linking the sources and their images to the observation point P, respectively; see figure 1. The relevant boundary condition is given by

$$V\left(M\right) =0,\tag{3}$$

where M denotes an arbitrary point on the surface of the conductor. Therefore, on the surface of the conductor we write

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{r_1^3} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2}{r_2^3} + \frac{q_1}{r_1} + \frac{q_2}{r_2} = 0.$$
 (4)

Now we define new variables according to

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{R}_1,\tag{5}$$

and

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2,\tag{6}$$

where \mathbf{R} is the radius vector linking the origin to a point on the spherical surface and \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 are now vectors linking the dipole and its image to this same point on the spherical surface. As in the problem of a point charge in the presence of a conducting sphere, for points on the spherical surface the geometrical relation

$$\frac{r_1}{r_2} = k,\tag{7}$$

where $r_i \equiv ||\mathbf{r}_i||$, and k is a constant, holds, and we can rewrite equation (4) as

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{p}_1}{k^3} + \mathbf{p}_2 - 2\left(\frac{q_1}{k} + q_2\right)\mathbf{R}_2\right] \cdot \mathbf{R} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1}{k^3} - \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 + \left(\frac{q_1}{k} + q_2\right)\left(R^2 + R_2^2\right) = 0,\tag{8}$$

where we have also made use of equations (5) and (6). Since this relation holds for an arbitrary point on the conducting surface, i.e., for arbitrary \mathbf{R} , we must have

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_1}{k^3} + \mathbf{p}_2 - 2\left(\frac{q_1}{k} + q_2\right)\mathbf{R}_2 = 0,\tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1}{k^3} + \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 - \left(\frac{q_1}{k} + q_2\right) \left(R^2 + R_2^2\right) = 0. \tag{10}$$

We can solve this system for the unknown quantities q_2 and \mathbf{p}_2 as functions of the known sources q_1 and \mathbf{p}_1 in a quick way by taking the dot product of the first equation with \mathbf{R}_2 . From the result we subtract the second equation to obtain

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{R}_2 - \mathbf{R}_1)}{k^3} + \left(\frac{q_1}{k} + q_2\right) \left(R^2 - R_2^2\right) = 0,\tag{11}$$

or, solving for q_2

$$q_2 = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{R}_2 - \mathbf{R}_1)}{k^3 (R^2 - R_2^2)} - \frac{q_1}{k}.$$
 (12)

Making use of the geometrical relation given by equation (1) (keep in mind that we are working on the surface of the sphere) and noting that $\mathbf{R}_1 = R_1 \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1$ and $\mathbf{R}_2 = R_2 \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1$, where $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_1$ is a fixed unit radial vector along the direction of \mathbf{R}_1 , we can write equation (12) as

$$q_2 = \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1}{k^3 R^2} - \frac{q_1}{k}.\tag{13}$$

On the other hand, we can take advantage of the fact that \mathbf{R} is arbitrary and choose it in such a way that \mathbf{R} becomes parallel to \mathbf{R}_1 and \mathbf{R}_2 so that k can be easily calculated

$$k = \frac{R_1 - R}{R - R_2} = \frac{R_1}{R},\tag{14}$$

where we have made use of equation (1). Then taking this result into equation (13) we finally obtain for the image point charge the result

$$q_2 = \frac{R\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1}{R_1^3} - \frac{R}{R_1} q_1. \tag{15}$$




Figure 1. Geometry for the problem of a dipole and a point charge in the presence of a perfectly conducting sphere. The dipole has an arbitrary orientation in three-dimensional space.

Equation (15) fixes the image charge q_2 completely. Remark that for the particular configuration where the dipole is perpendicular to its position vector relative to the centre of the sphere, \mathbf{R}_1 , or when $\mathbf{p}_1 = 0$, the image charge is that of the problem of the grounded sphere and a point charge [1].

Taking equation (15) into equation (9), writing $\mathbf{R}_2 = R_2 \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1$ and making use again of equation (1) to eliminate R_2 we obtain

$$\mathbf{p}_{2} = -\frac{R^{3}}{R_{1}^{3}} [\mathbf{p}_{1} - 2(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}) \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}]. \tag{16}$$

Equation (16) fixes the direction and magnitude of the image dipole \mathbf{p}_2 completely. By making use of the relation $\mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c}) \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{c}$, we can also write

$$\mathbf{p}_{2} = \frac{R^{3}}{R_{1}^{3}} [\mathbf{p}_{1} + 2(\mathbf{p}_{1} \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}) \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}]. \tag{17}$$

The second term on the lhs of equation (17) determines the transverse component of the image dipole with respect to the position vector \mathbf{R}_1 of the real dipole.

From equation (16) we can easily show that the magnitude of the dipole and that of its image are related by

$$\|\mathbf{p}_2\| = \frac{R^3}{R_1^3} \|\mathbf{p}_1\|. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, since

$$\mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1 = \frac{R^3}{R_1^3} \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1,\tag{19}$$

it is also easily seen that the angle between the direction determined by \mathbf{R}_1 and the image dipole is the same as angle between this same direction and the real dipole. Therefore, the radial projection of the image dipole and that of the real dipole is positive and adds up; see figure 2.

Taking equations (15) and (16) into equation (2) and recalling that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2$, we obtain for the electrostatic potential at an observation point $P(\mathbf{r})$ not on the surface of the




Figure 2. The angle between \mathbf{R}_1 and the image dipole is the same as angle between \mathbf{R}_1 and the real dipole. The magnitude of the image dipole is reduced by the factor R^3/R_1^3 with respect to the magnitude of the real dipole.

sphere the expression

$$4\pi \epsilon_0 V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_1)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_1\|^3} - \frac{R^3}{R_1^3} \frac{[\mathbf{p}_1 - 2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1) \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1] \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^2}{R_1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^2}{R_1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1\|^3} + \frac{R}{R_1^3} \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1}{\|\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^2}{R_1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1\|} - \frac{R}{R_1} \frac{q_1}{\|\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^2}{R_1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1\|} + \frac{q_1}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_1\|}.$$
 (20)

The electric field is minus the gradient of this expression and a straightforward calculation yields

$$4\pi\epsilon_{0}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_{1}}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{1}\|^{3}} + \frac{3\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{1})(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{1})}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{1}\|^{5}} + \frac{R^{3}}{R_{1}^{3}} \frac{[\mathbf{p}_{1} - 2(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}]}{\|\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\|(\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}))} + \frac{R^{3}}{R_{1}^{3}} \frac{[\mathbf{p}_{1} - 2(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}] \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})(\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})}{\|\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\|^{5}} + \frac{R^{3}}{R_{1}^{3}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{1}(\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})}{\|\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\|^{3}} - \frac{Rq_{1}(\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})}{R_{1}\|\mathbf{r} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\|^{3}} + \frac{q_{1}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{1})}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{1}\|^{3}}.$$
(21)

A simple calculation—it suffices to consider only terms proportional to r—shows that the electric field on the surface of the sphere, r = R, is given by

$$4\pi\epsilon_{0}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{3}{R^{3}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{R_{1}}{R}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right)\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{\left\|\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{R_{1}}{R}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right\|^{5}} - \frac{3}{R_{1}^{3}} \frac{\left[\mathbf{p}_{1} - 2(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{1})\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right] \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{R_{1}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right)\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{\left\|\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{R_{1}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right\|^{5}} + \frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}R} \frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right)\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{\left\|\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{R_{1}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{1}\right\|^{3}} - \frac{q_{1}}{R_{1}R} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{\left\|\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{R_{1}}{R_{1}}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right\|^{3}} + \frac{q_{1}}{R^{2}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{\left\|\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{R_{1}}{R}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\right\|^{3}}.$$
 (22)

The induced superficial charge density on the sphere is given by $\sigma = \epsilon_0 \hat{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R})$, therefore the general expression for the induced charge density is up to the constant ϵ_0 , the expression above with the unit radial vector $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ omitted.

3. The electric dipole in the presence of a grounded conducting sphere

Let us consider the special configuration formed by the electric dipole pointing at an arbitrary direction and a grounded conducting sphere [2, 3]. To obtain the corresponding electrostatic potential, the electric field and the induced charge on the sphere we set $q_1 = 0$ in the previous equations. Note that in this case, for an arbitrary direction, besides the image dipole \mathbf{p}_2 , we have also, as remarked before, an image charge q_2 which depends on the relative orientation between \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{R}_1 . Only if \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{R}_1 are mutually perpendicular will the image point charge be zero and the image dipole scaled down by the factor R^3/R_1^3 and its direction opposite to that of the real dipole.

In order to evaluate the electrostatic energy stored in this configuration we must renormalize the electric field. This means to subtract from the total electric field as given by equation (21) the contribution of the real dipole, i.e., the first two terms on the lhs of equation (21). Then the electrostatic energy can be calculated from the formula

$$U = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_{ren}(\mathbf{R}_1), \tag{23}$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{ren}(\mathbf{R}_1)$ is given by

$$4\pi \epsilon_{0} \mathbf{E}_{ren}(\mathbf{R}_{1}) = \frac{R^{3}}{R_{1}^{3}} \frac{[\mathbf{p}_{1} - 2(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}) \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}]}{\|\mathbf{R} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\|^{3}}$$

$$-3 \frac{R^{3}}{R_{1}^{3}} \frac{[\mathbf{p}_{1} - 2(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}) \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}] \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}) \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})}{\|\mathbf{R} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\|^{5}}$$

$$+ \frac{R}{R_{1}^{3}} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{1} (\mathbf{R}_{1} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})}{\|\mathbf{R}_{1} - \frac{R^{2}}{R_{1}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1}\|^{3}}.$$
(24)

A straightforward calculation yields for the electrostatic energy of the configuration the formula

$$U = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{R \left[R_1^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1)^2 + R^2 \mathbf{p}_1^2 \right]}{4\pi \epsilon_0 (R_1^2 - R^2)^3}$$
(25)

which is in perfect agreement with $[2]^1$.

The force on the real dipole can be calculated by taking the gradient of the electrostatic energy

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = -\nabla U = -\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}R_1}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_1. \tag{26}$$

The result is

$$\mathbf{F}_{1} = -\frac{RR_{1}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}(R_{1}^{2} - R^{2})^{4}} [(2R_{1}^{2} + R^{2})(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1})^{2} + 3R^{2}\mathbf{p}_{1}^{2}]\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{1},$$
(27)

also in agreement with [2].

¹ In order to compare our results with those of [2] we must set $\mathbf{R}_1 = R_1 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, $\mathbf{p}_1 = ||\mathbf{p}_1|| (\sin \alpha \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos \alpha \hat{\mathbf{z}})$, where α is the angle between \mathbf{R}_1 and \mathbf{p}_1 . Then it is readily seen that both results agree. The same holds for the induced image charge a.






Figure 3. Dimensionless surface charge density $\sigma^{\star}(\mathbf{R})$ of the grounded sphere in the configuration of [2] as a function of the angle θ for $\alpha=0$. The black circle on the surface of the sphere corresponds to $\phi=0$, and $\phi=\pi$, and $R_1=2R$. The white curves on the sphere correspond to constant charge density curves. Note that the north and the south poles correspond to maxima. This happens only for small values of α .

To evaluate the torque on the real dipole we must consider the derivative of the electrostatic energy with respect to the angle between \mathbf{p}_1 and the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_1$. If we denote this angle by α then

$$\tau = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial \alpha} = -\frac{RR_1^2}{2} \frac{\|\mathbf{p}_1\|^2 \sin(2\alpha)}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \left(R_1^2 - R^2\right)^3},\tag{28}$$

in agreement with [2].

The induced superficial charge distribution on the conducting sphere is

$$\sigma(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{3}{4\pi R^3} \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{R_1}{R} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1)}{\|\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{R_1}{R} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1\|^5} - \frac{3}{4\pi R_1^3} \frac{[\mathbf{p}_1 - 2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1) \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1] \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{R}{R_1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1)}{\|\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{R}{R_1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1\|^5} + \frac{1}{4\pi R_1^2 R} \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1)}{\|\hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{R}{R_1} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_1\|^3}.$$
(29)

In order to visualize in an easier way the induced charge density we choose the configuration of [2]

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \|\mathbf{p}_1\|(\sin\alpha\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \cos\alpha\hat{\mathbf{z}})\tag{30}$$




Figure 4. Dimensionless surface charge density $\sigma^*(\mathbf{R})$ of the grounded sphere in the configuration of [2] as a function of the angle θ for $\alpha=\pi/4$. The black circle on the surface of the sphere corresponds to $\phi=0$ and $\phi=\pi$, and $R_1=2R$. The white curves on the sphere correspond to constant charge density curves.

where α is the angle between \mathbf{R}_1 and \mathbf{p}_1 . Also $\mathbf{R}_1 = R_1 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. Then from equation (29) the surface charge density can be cast into the expression

$$\sigma^*(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\left[\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \sin \alpha + (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} - B^{-1}) \cos \alpha\right]}{(1 + B^{-2} - 2B^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}})^{5/2}} - B^3 \frac{\left[\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} \sin \alpha - (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} - B) \cos \alpha\right]}{(1 + B^2 - 2B\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}})^{5/2}} + \frac{B^2}{3} \frac{\cos \alpha}{(1 + B^2 - 2B\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}})^{3/2}},$$
(31)

where we have defined $\sigma^*(\mathbf{R}) := 4\pi R^3 \sigma(\mathbf{R})/3\|\mathbf{p}_1\|$, and $B := R/R_1$; also $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} = \sin\theta\cos\phi$, and $\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}} = \cos\theta$. The angles θ and ϕ are the usual polar and azimuthal angles associated with spherical coordinates. Note that $0 \le B \le 1$. Also, for $R_1 \gg R$ we have $B \to 0$ and $\sigma^*(\mathbf{R}) \to 0$, as it should. Equation (31) will allow for simple plots of the dimensionless surface charge distribution $\sigma^*(\mathbf{R})$ as a function of the polar angle once we have chosen α and ϕ . However, in order to construct more illuminating plots it helps to know where, for a fixed α , the local maxima and minima of equation (31) are located. These points can be easily obtained by setting $\partial \sigma^*/\partial \phi = 0$ and $\partial \sigma^*/\partial \theta = 0$. It turns out that the first condition leads to $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi$. This means that local maxima and minima are located on a circle (i.e., a meridian) traced on the surface of the sphere and contained in a plane that contains the real dipole and the origin, the XZ-plane. With this understanding and the help of computer calculation software we construct plots as depicted in figures 3, 4 and 5. These




Figure 5. Dimensionless surface charge density $\sigma^{\star}(\mathbf{R})$ of the grounded sphere in the configuration of [2] as a function of the angle θ for $\alpha=\pi/2$. The black circle on the surface of the sphere corresponds to $\phi=0$ and $\phi=\pi$, and $R_1=2R$. The white curves on the sphere correspond to constant charge density curves.

figures display the dimensionless induced charge distribution on the sphere for $\alpha=0, \alpha=\pi/4$ and $\alpha=\pi/2$. In all situations $R_1=2R$. Note that θ now means the coordinate that parametrizes the circle in such a way that the angular spherical coordinates of its points are $(\theta,0)$ for $0<\theta<\pi$ and $(2\pi-\theta,\pi)$ for $-\pi<\theta<0$. Furthermore, for convenience we have constructed these plots for the closed interval $[-\pi/2,3\pi/2]$ making the usual identification $\theta\to\theta-2\pi$.

4. Final remarks

From the general solutions that we have found we can answer a number of particular questions, for example, we now can readily answer the question [4]: a point dipole of moment \mathbf{p} is a distance d from the centre of a grounded conducting sphere and points to the centre of it. What is the charge distribution on the sphere? Or we can answer the question: does it matter if the sphere is isolated or grounded [5]? For the grounded sphere the total charge is not specified and the amount of charge on it will depend on the details of the configuration. For an isolated charged sphere the total charge Q has a fixed value and the potential on the surface of the sphere has a value V_0 . In this case, an additional point charge q_3 placed at the centre of the sphere will solve the problem. If the sphere is isolated but neutral, the condition $q_3 + q_2 = 0$ must hold.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge their debt to their colleague Dr V Soares whose skills substantially improved the graphics of the paper. The authors also wish to acknowledge C Farina and our students for helpful comments.

References

- [1] Jackson J D 1998 Classical Electrodynamics 3rd edn (New York: Wiley) chapter 2
- [2] Batygin V V and Topygin I N 1977 Problems in Electrodynamics 2nd edn (London: Academic) problem 36*
- [3] Grechko L G, Sugakov V I, Tomasevich O F and Fedorchenko A M 1977 Problems in Theoretical Physics (Moscow: Mir) problem 34
- [4] Eyges L 1972 The Classical Electromagnetic Field (New York: Dover) chapter 4, problem 12
- [5] Konopinski E J 1981 Electromagnetic Fields and Relativistic Particles (New York: McGraw-Hill) chapter B, problem B15